

A NATIONAL TOWN MEETING & SYMPOSIUM ON DEMAND RESPONSE

Berkeley California - June 26 and 27, 2006

U.S. Demand Response Coordinating Committee & Demand Response Research Center

PRICING AND RATES

SYMPOSIUM ON DEMAND RESPONSE JUNE 26, 2006



Moderator

Ahmad Faruqui, CRA International

Panelists

Chuck Goldman Staff Scientist, Lawrence Berkeley

National Laboratory

Dr. Bernie Neenan VP Pricing and Demand Response,

Utilipoint

Richard Voytas Manager, Corporate Analysis,

Ameren Services

Ed Morrison Assistant Project Manager, Residential

Energy Affordability Program NYSERDA



What's wrong with electricity pricing? Plenty!

- Can non-time-varying default pricing of electricity be justified?
- □ Bonbright and others have argued that electricity prices should reflect the cost of electricity.
- ☐ Just about every one agrees that electricity prices vary by time of day
 - Yet default electric rates for the majority of consumers do not show this time-variation.



A Controversy in Electricity Pricing?

- ☐ Is it *fair* to have default electricity rates that do not vary by time of day?
 - Customers with relatively flat load shapes subsidize customers with relatively peaky load shapes, such as those with central air conditioning
- ☐ Is it *efficient*?
 - Peaky load shapes require the installation of expensive peaking capacity and may also add to greenhouse gas emissions



A Controversy in Electricity Pricing?

- □ Is this outcome, which is both unfair and inefficient, caused by lack of information on the part of consumers, utilities and regulators?
- □ Or is caused by the weight that is given in the ratemaking process to those who would lose from time-varying rates?
- □ Can the problem be resolved by offering invertedblock rates?



Do customers respond to time-varying rates?

- □ Does it matter how customers respond? Shouldn't the rate just be concerned with reflecting the right price?
- □ Is the response limited to large C&I customers?
- Does response need to be enabled by providing technologies to customers?
- □ Can customers who respond be expected to continue responding during heat waves?
- □ Can this response be counted upon from a resource adequacy perspective?



Are time-varying rates cost effective?

- Why do rates have to be cost effective? Isn't the objective to allocate costs?
- Will large numbers of customers accept time-varying rates?
- □ Will their load response be sufficient to offset the cost of advanced metering and billing systems?
- Will every customer need to respond for time-varying rates to be cost-effective?